

Minutes of the Special Meeting of the **Shikshan Shulka Samiti (Higher and Technical Education)** held under the Chairmanship of **Justice P.S. Patankar (Retd.)** on **Monday, the 3<sup>rd</sup> February 2014** at 11.30 a.m. in the Conference Hall, Office of the Samiti, 305, 3rd floor, Government Polytechnic Building, 49, Kherwadi, Bandra (E), Mumbai 400 051.

---

**Draft Minutes:-**

Following Members and Officers were present:

1. Shri S.B. Bhagwat, CA : Member
2. Prof. O.G. Kakde : Member and Director, Veermata, Jijabai Technological Institute, Mumbai.
3. Dr. (Mrs.) Rupa Shah : Member

Invitees:

Dr. R. V. Shetkar : Asst. Director Technical Education, Govt. of Maharashtra

Shri Sanjay Kumar, Member Secretary and Secretary, Higher and Technical Education, Govt. of Maharashtra could not attend the meeting. Hence, leave of absence granted.

Shri P.E. Gaikwad, Office Secretary, Shikshan Shulka Samiti was present in the meeting.

Mrs. K.V. Sane, Desk Officer, Shikshan Shulka Samiti, Mumbai could not attend the meeting. Hence, leave of absence granted.

The copies of the agenda along with copies of the relevant documents were circulated to the Members.

**Item No. 1 :** **To consider and decide the Review Application received from following colleges for approval of fees for AY 2013-14 :-**

**Item No. 1(1):** **PL3262- Vidyavardhini's Bhausaheb Vartak Polytechnic, Vasai, Thane.**

Heard the Institute. The Institute has given the Notice to the students/parents as per our resolution. But none is present on behalf of the students/parents. The fee approved for the course started during 2012-13 was Rs. 55,000/-. The fee approved for the course started during 2013-14 is Rs. 63,000/-. It is challenged. The Institute has originally demanded fee of Rs. 65,990/-. It is challenged on the ground



that disallowances of salary made is not correct. We have once more seen the teachers employed and the sanctioned strength. It seems that there is some error in considering this. It may be about 1% to 2 %. But the Institute has now given letter dated 3/2/2014 stating that the fee may be approved at Rs. 65,000/- for 2013-14. We feel it is quite reasonable. We approve the same. Office to declare it.

**Item No. 1(2): EN6267- Kolhapur Institute of Technology's College of Engineering, Kolhapur..**

Heard the Institute. The Institute has given the Notice to the students/parents as per our resolution. But none is present on behalf of the students/parents.

The fee approved for the course started during 2012-13 was Rs. 56,620/-. The fee approved for the course started during 2013-14 is Rs. 65,000/-. It is challenged. The Institute has originally demanded fee of Rs. 75,000/-. It is in respect of BE and ME course as common proposal was submitted. The contention raised is regarding Disallowance of salary of 16%. We have pointed out that 8 Teachers are appointed in excess of norms of AICTE . We also pointed that certain expenditure was not correctly claimed . The Institute has now given letter dated 3/2/2014 stating that the fee may be approved at Rs. 67,000/- for 2013-14. It is quite reasonable. We approve the same. Office to declare it.

**Item No. 1(3): EN6289- BRACT's Vishwakarma Institute of Information Technology, Kondhwa.**

Heard the Institute. The Institute has given the Notice to the students/parents as per our resolution. But none is present on behalf of the students/parents.

The fee approved for the course started during 2012-13 was Rs. 90,780/-. The fee approved for the course started during 2013-14 is also Rs. 90,780/-. It is challenged. The Institute has originally demanded fee of Rs.1,01,000/-.The Institute has pointed out that there was an error in submitting the proposal for approval of fee for 2012-13 as Rs. 84,841/- It was only tuition fee and enormously development fees was not added. It is contended that there is error in disallowing some salary expenses. There is some substance in it. The Institute has now given letter dated 3/2/2014 and has demanded fee of Rs. 95,000/- for 2013-14 . It is quite reasonable. We approve the same. Office to declare it.

**Item No. 1(4): EN3460- Vidya Vikas Education Trust's Technical Campus, Kaman,Thane.**

Heard the Institute. The Institute has given the Notice to the students/parents as per our resolution. But none is present on behalf of the students/parents.

The course was started during 2012-13 and on the adhoc fee was Rs. 49,600/-. The fee approved for the course started during 2013-14 is Rs. 70,000/-. It is challenged. The Institute has originally demanded fee of Rs.1,17,000/-.It is challenged on the ground that some salary and non salary expenses are not correctly taken into



consideration. We find that there is some error and only some teachers are in excess. But the payment of Honorarium also could not have been made to some. The Institute has now given letter dated 3/2/2014 stating that the fee may be approved at Rs. 90,000/- We feel it reasonable. We approve the same. Office to make declaration as in the case of other new colleges.

**Item No. 1(5): MC6232- Maharashtra Education Society's Institute of Management and Career Courses (IMCC), Pune 131, Mayur Colony, Kothrud, Pune 411029.**

Heard the Institute. The Institute has given the Notice to the students/parents as per our resolution. But none is present on behalf of the students/parents.

This is in respect of some evening courses run by the Institute. The fee approved for those courses started during 2012-13 was Rs. 20,740/-. This year it is approved at Rs. 13,010/-. It is challenged. It is only contended that there was mistake on the part of the Institute in making the demand due to erroneous calculation of depreciation. It seems there is some point in this. The Institute has now given letter dated 3/2/2014 stating that the said fee may be approved at Rs. 17,500/-. We approve the same. Office to declare it.

**Item No. 1(6): PL4234- Shri Sai Polytechnic Chandrapur.**

Heard the Institute. The Institute has given the Notice to the students/parents as per our resolution. But none is present on behalf of the students/parents.

It is prayed that they may be allowed to withdraw the revision. Allowed to withdraw.

**Item No. 1(7): EN4190- Shri. Sai College of Engineering & Technology, Chandrapur.**

Heard the Institute. The Institute has given the Notice to the students/parents as per our resolution. But none is present on behalf of the students/parents.

It is prayed that they may be allowed to withdraw the revision. Allowed to withdraw.

**Item No. 1(8): PL6463- Mokashi Krishi Vikas Pratishthan's Dadasaheb Mokashi Polytechnic, Rajmachi, (Sadashivgad), Karad.**

Heard the Institute. The Institute has given the Notice to the students/parents as per our resolution. But none is present on behalf of the students/parents.



It is prayed that they may be allowed to withdraw the revision. Allowed to withdraw.

**Item No. 1(9): EN5162- Amrutvahini College of Engineering, Sangmner.**

Heard the Institute. The Institute has given the Notice to the students/parents as per our resolution. But none is present on behalf of the students/parents.

The fee approved for 2012-13 was Rs. 68,000/-. The fee approved for 2013-14 is Rs. 75,000/-. It is challenged. It is pointed out that 4 courses were accredited on 4/1/2013 and 3 courses were accredited on 21/8/2013. Therefore they are entitled to get 3% additional fee for the 4 courses and 2% for 3 courses. As per our norms they are entitled to get only 3% as only 4 courses were approved during 2012-13. The 2<sup>nd</sup> approval can be considered for next year. There is therefore error in not granting 3% additional fee. If granted it goes to Rs. 2000/- . We add the same. The fee approved therefore would be Rs. 77,000/-. Office to declare it.

**Item No. 1(10): DPH5268- Shree Neminath Jain Brahmacharyashram Jain Gurukul's Shri. Deepchand Fakirchand Lodha Pharmacy, Institute Chandwad.**

Heard the Institute. The Institute has given the Notice to the students/parents as per our resolution. But none is present on behalf of the students/parents.

It is prayed that they may be allowed to withdraw as no ground is mentioned therein. It is also stated that they want to file a fresh one. Allowed to withdraw.

**Item No.1(11): PL6473- Shri. Venkateshwara Shikshan Sanstha's Nanasaheb Mahadik Polytechnic Institute, Walwa.**

Heard the Institute. The Institute has given the Notice to the students/parents as per our resolution. But none is present on behalf of the students/parents.

The fee declared for 2012-13 was Rs. 37,850/-. The fee declared for 2013-14 is Rs. 30,500/-. The fee demanded is of Rs. 40,000/-. It is challenged. It is mainly challenged on the ground that certain expenditure made for the Institution was shown in the parent trust account and was not taken into consideration . There seems to be some substance in it. The Institution has now given a letter stating that their fee may be approved at Rs. 37,850/-. It is reasonable. We approve the same. Office to declare it.

**Item No. 1(12): PL5365- Vardhaman Education & Welfare Society, Ahinsa Polytechnic, Post. Dondaicha, Dhule.**

Heard the Institute. The Institute has given the Notice to the students/parents as per our resolution. But none is present on behalf of the students/parents.


It is stated that the worksheet is correct. It is only stated that college is in rural area and hence some consideration be shown. It is not possible. Hence Rejected.

**Item No. 2 :**            **Any other matter with the permission of the chair.**

Nil

The next Special meeting of the Shikshan Shulka Samiti (Higher and Technical Education) would be held on **Tuesday the 4<sup>th</sup> February 2014 at 11:00 a.m.** in the Conference Hall, Office of the Samiti at 305, 3<sup>rd</sup> floor, Government Polytechnic Building, 49, Kherwadi, Ali Yawar Jung Marg, Bandra (E), Mumbai 400 051.

Date: 5-2-14



**(P.S.PATANKAR)**  
**CHAIRMAN**